United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/579,746	05/18/2006	Wolfgang Rzadki	32860-001039/US	8873	
	30596 7590 10/16/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O.BOX 8910			EXAMINER		1
				SOTELO, JESUS D		
	RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
				3617		
						-
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	_
				10/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/579,746	RZADKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jesús D. Sotelo	3617					
The MAI∟ING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 May 2006</u> is/are: a)[by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	/ea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Other:	Patent Application					
, apa(a),a							

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-39 are in the application.
- 2. The use of parenthetical expressions in claim 33 is objected to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 14-16, 18-23, 25, 28, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The elements recited in each of the above listed claims lack proper antecedent basis in the specification.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2, 4-11, 25-33, and 35-37, there is no proper antecedent for "an equipment segment" in claim 1.

In claim 12 there is no proper antecedent for "the electrical network" in claim 11.

In claim 13, there is no proper antecedent for "the circuit breaker".

Application/Control Number: 10/579,746

Art Unit: 3617

In claim 18, there is no proper antecedent for "the current limiting devices".

In claim 19, there is no proper antecedent for "the individual switching devices".

In claim 20, there is no proper antecedent for "the automation and control device".

In claims 24 and 39 there is no proper antecedent for "the vessel protection section".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rzadki et al (7,172,474).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/579,746

Art Unit: 3617

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Rzadki et al discloses a power generation and distribution power supply system and a propulsion system including at least one cruise propulsion system 43, 44 and at least an additional propulsion system 33, 36. The power supply system includes an AC network 30 and a DC network 22, 23. The system includes at least one fuel cell modules for generating electricity and at least one synchronous generator driven by a gas turbine (figure 2). The recitations of claims 7-9, 25 and 26 are disclosed by Rzadki et al on column 5, lines 61-67 and column 6, lines 1-54.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolfe et al (5,678,647), Wilhelm (5,969,435), Thaxton et al (6,188,139), Ries et al (2005/0009418) and Levedahl (5417597) disclose propulsion systems using electric motors.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. 6:00 AM 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art unit 3617

KNX 03D85 ©

jds

October 11, 2007